

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/715,513	11/19/2003	Norikazu Mayama	2003-1616A	4700
513 7:	590 07/29/2005		EXAM	INER
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			. SZEKELY, PETER A	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
1						
	Office Action Summary	10/715,513	MAYAMA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter Szekely	1714			
Period for	• •					
THE MA - Extension after Silver - If the per - If NO per - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	desponsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	ovember 2003.				
2a)□ T	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)□ S	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
С	losed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	n of Claims					
4)⊠ C	claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application					
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5)□ C	claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆 C	claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□ C	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application	n Papers					
9)□ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)□ TI	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
А	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	teplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11)∐ Ti	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
·	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
Ī	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	. Certified copies of the priority document		Alon No.			
	Certified copies of the priority documentCopies of the certified copies of the priority					
3	application from the International Burea	•	ved in this National Stage			
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.			
	•					
Attachment(s	;)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 or P10/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/19/04,12/10/04</u> .	6) Other:	· manning production (1 1 to 104)			
U.S. Patent and Trad PTOL-326 (Rev		ction Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050603			

Application/Control Number: 10/715,513 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Since the certified English translation of the priority document has not been submitted, the effective filing date of the instant application is 11/19/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The word "may" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear whether the organic metal salt compound generates carbon dioxide gas or not by decomposition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or b) as being anticipated by Asahi Kasei Corp. JP-2001-181639, Asahi Kasei Corp. JP-2001-226590 or Nitto Denko Corp. JP- 2003-253095.
- 7. The examiner, who does not speak or read Japanese, accepts the conclusions of the European Patent Office without reservations. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,513 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 1-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishihara 6,790,887.
- 11. Nishihara discloses thermosetting resins in column 12, lines 54-57, tetrazole salts in column 19, lines 35-40, metal hydroxides in column 19, line 45, concentrations in column 21, lines 51-55, metal soaps and its concentrations in column 21, lines 62-65. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art; at the time the invention was made to select applicants' flame-retardants from a list of equivalents. It is well settled that it is a matter of obviousness for one of ordinary skill in the art, to combine two or more materials when each is taught by the prior art to be useful for the

Application/Control Number: 10/715,513

Art Unit: 1714

same purpose. In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA

1980).

12. The time period for the response is restarted as of the mailing of the instant

Office action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1714

P.S. 6/3/05